

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 547 (1997) 183-198



The carbenoid nature of halophosphines. Carbene-like insertion mechanism in the reaction of chlorophosphines with Cp₂NbH₃

Georgii I. Nikonov ", Yuri K. Grishin ", Dmitry A. Lemenovskii ", Nadezhda B. Kazennova ", Lyudmila G. Kuzmina ^b, Judith A.K. Howard ^c

⁴ Chemistry Department, Moscow State University, Vorob'ety Gory, 119899 Moscow, Russia
^b Institute of General and horganic Chemistry, RAS, Leninsky prosp. 31, 117907 Moscow, Russia
^c University of Durham, Sauth Road, Durham DHJ ALE, UK

Received 14 January 1997

Abstract

We have studied the reactivity of Cp₁NbH₁ (1) with different chlorophosphines and showed that all the reactions occur at the niobium-hydride bond and proceed by two competitive routes, via the direct insertion of a chlorophosphine into the Nb-H bond and electron or/and hydride transfer mechanism. Reaction of I with the unhadered dialkyl- and diaryl-chlorophosphines CIPR, (R = Et, Bu, Pr', Ph) gave the insertion product — the ionic complexes [Cp,NbH,(PHR,)]Cl (2) — in high yields. In contrast, reaction of 1 with the bulkier CIPBul₂ proceeded much slower and resulted in the steady formation of Cp₂NbCl₂ and HPBul₂. Cp₂NbCl₂ was also the product of the reactions of 1 with excess of CIP(OEt), and catecholechlorophosphite 7. The reaction of 1 with one equivalent of cyclic chlorodiaminophosphine CIP(N(CH,),CH,-), (5) resulted in two competitive routes that led to the ionic complex [Cp,NbH₃(HP(NR₃)₃)]Cl (2f) and molecular complex Cp₃NbCl(HP(NR₃)₃) (6j). The reaction of 1 with two equivalents of cyclic 1-chloro-5.5-dimethyl-1-phospha-2,6-dioxahexane gave cationic diphosp'ite complex [Cp.Nb(PHR,),]Cl (8) in high yield. This reactivity was explained in terms of the carbenoid nature of halophosphines due to the proposed relationship in the electronic structures of halophosphines and singlet methylene. Thus, reaction of 1 with the un indered dialkyl- and diaryl-chlorophosphines proceeded by the direct insertion of a chlorophosphine CIPR2 into the No-H bond y hereas with the more electron withdrawing substituents R a. phosphorus the electron or/and hydride transfer mechanisms, leading to 6 and eventually to Cp_NbCl_, could be operative. This concept also allowed us to explain the reactivity of chlorophosphines toward different unsaturated organic products. The facile syntheses of complexes Cp,Nb(PHR,)Cl (6) and Cp,Nb(PHR,)H (11) by the thermolysis and dept tonation of 2, respectively, was performed and the comparison with other methods was undertaken. Crystallographic studies of complexes 8 and Cp, Nb(PHPr;)Br are reported. © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: Niobium; Hydrides; Chlorophosphine; Carbenoid; Insertion reactions

1. Introduction

The chemistry of phosphorus substituted early transition metal complexes has recently received a great deal of attention [1-6], mainly in the groups of Stephan [1], Baker [2] and Hey-Hawkins [3]. Metathetical and oxidative addition reactions are the common routes to these complexes. However, these methods sometimes give complex reaction mixtures requiring difficult work-up, or result in unexpected products [5]. Moise et al. have suggested an alternative approach based on the nucleophilic substitution of a halide in a halophosphine for a basic organometallic fragment, but until recently these reactions have been restricted only to the d^2 metallocenes [6].

During our search for alternative routes to phosphorus substituted complexes, we found that ClPPh₂ can insert directly into the M-H bond of Cp₂MH₃ (M = Nb, Ta) giving phosphino complexes [Cp₂MH₂(PHPh₃)]Cl [7]. Complexes of this type serve as very convenient starting materials to a variety of other phosphorus substituted

Corresponding author.

metallocenes, including new precursors to early-late heterobimetallics [8]. Application of this insertion method to other group 15 monohaldes led to the first arsenido [9] and bismuthido [10] complexes of the group 5 metallocenes. These findings raise general questions about the reactivity of transition metal-hydrogen bonds toward halophosphines. Such reactivity has not been studied before this work. The reactions of transition metal-hydrogen bonds toward halophosphines are believed to occur only at the metal center [6a, 11]. These reactions include the coordination of CIPR₂ via the phosphorus lone pair to a coordinatively unsaturated species, such as Cp(CO)₂MoH [11] (Eq. (1)), or substitution of a halide in HalPR₂ (Hal = halide) for a d² complex [6a] (Eq. (2)), followed by subsequent reactions with the M-H bond. The d⁰ complex Cp₂NbH₃ (1) lacks both a metal centered lone pair and an available coordination site, thus presenting itself as a unique substrate to test the reactivity of halophosphines directly with a transition metal-hydrogen bond.



M = Nb, Ta, L = two electron donor; M = Mo, W, L = H.

(2)

Here we report the first focused study of such reactivity, using the reaction between 1 and chlorophosphines CIPR₂ as an example. We have found that the reaction pathway depends on the substituent R. The unhindered dialkyl- and diaryl-chlorophosphines CIPR₂ exhibit an insertion reaction whereas the bulky and electron withdrawing group R favours another mechanism. The general concept of the carbenoid nature of halophosphines is proposed to explain this reactivity. Convenient methods for the preparation of a variety of new phosphorus substituted niobocenes are also presented.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Reaction of Cp_2NbH_3 with unhindered chlorophosphines $ClPR_2$ (R = alkyl, aryl)

The interaction of Cp_2NbH_3 (1) with the unhindered dialkyl- and diaryl-chlorophosphines $CIPR_3$ (R = Et, Bu, Pr⁴, Ph) occurs readily in aromatic and ethereal solvents, giving the ionic complexes $[Cp_2NbH_3(PHR_2)]CI$ (2) [12] in high yields. For R = Et. Bu, Pt the complexes **2a**, **b**, **d** immediately precipitate as white voluminous flakes after mixing the reactants (Eq. (3)). For somewhat more hindered CIPPr⁴ a small induction period of 6 s was observed.

$$Cp_2NbH_3 + CIPR_2 \xrightarrow{tolucne} [Cp_2NbH_2(HPR_2)]Cl \qquad (3)$$

$$I \qquad Za-d$$

$$R \text{ Et Bu Pr' Ph } a \ b \ c \ d$$

The low solubility of the complexes 2 in hydrocarbon, ethereal and aromatic solvents provides for very easy isolation

of the products in analytically pure form. However, the compounds 2 are sufficiently soluble in acetone, methanol and water to allow their NMR spectra to be recorded. The structures of 2a-d were established on the basis of ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR and IR spectra. The complexes 2 are stable for a short time in air, but prolonged exposure 2 to air results in formation of vellow insoluble materials, probably polymeric oxo-complexes.

Reaction 3 is very fast even at low temperatures (-20° C). Under these conditions complex 1 does not decompose for a long time. Therefore we can state that reaction 3 proceeds via the direct interaction of CIPR₂ with 1 rather than with a product of its decomposition such as Cp₂NbH. The absence of a direct Nb-Cl bond in the product 2 also suggest that other unsaturated complexes, such as $[Cp_2NbH_2]^+$, cannot be the intermediates in reaction 3. The noninvolvement of coordinatively unsaturated intermediates in reaction 3 was supported by the following observation. Addition of 1 equivalent of CIPPh₂ to a toluene solution of Cp₂NbH₃ in the presence of a tenfold excess of the highly nucleophilic PMe₃ resulted in the formation of a coloured solution and a white precipitate of 2d. However, addition of two more equivalents of CIPR₂ caused further precipitation of an orange powder that was collected and shown by NMR to be a mixture of Me₂PH · Cl and the previously described complex [Cp₂Nb(PHPh₂)₂]Cl (3) [7a]. Formation of these products is in accord with the reaction sequence shown in Eq. (4). This reaction is analogous to the reaction of CIPPh₂ with (C₅H₄Me)₂NbH₃ in the precisence of NEt₃ [7a]. Thus PMe₃ plays only the role of an external base. It is essential that no PMe₃ incorporation into the coordination sphere of niobium was observed. Therefore, we conclude that the unhindered chlorophosphines CIPR₂ can directly insert into the Nb–H bond of 1. Apparently, the insertion process maintains the coordinative saturation of the metal center thus preventing formation of the stable M–Cl bond.

$$Cp_2 NbH_3 \xrightarrow[-Me,PH-Cl]{} \xrightarrow{CIPPh_2} Cp_2 NbH(PHPh_2) \xrightarrow{CIPPh_2} [Cp_2 Nb(PHPh_2)_2]Cl \downarrow$$
(4)

2.2. Reaction of Cp, NbH, with CIPBu',

Reaction of 1 with the bulky chlorophosphine CIPBu¹₂ in ether occurs with an induction period of about 30 min. After spontaneous initiation, a fast reaction was observed that was accompanied by developing a dark-brown colour, vigourous gas evaluation and formation of a dark precipitate. The gas evolved cannot be condensed in vacuum by cooling with liquid nitrogen and is apparently H₂. The precipitate was found to consist of Cp₂NbCl₂ (confirmed by ESR) and a binuclear complex [Cp₂Nb₂]₂(η^{10} , μ -C₀(μ_{10})(μ -Cl)(μ -Hl) [13] (confirmed by NMR). The formation of these products was established by comparison with authentic samples. The formation of expected white complex [Cp₃Nb₄(PHBu¹₂)]Cl (2e) was not observed.

The ESR spectrum of the mother liquor showed the presence of a signal due to Cp₂NbCl₂ along with a weak signal of another paramagnetic substance (dectet of doublets, $\langle a_{Nb} \rangle = 85$, $\langle a_{P} \rangle = 5$ Hc) attributable to the chlorophosphido complex Cp₂Nb(PBu²₂)Cl (4). Given the very high sensitivity of ESR, the concentration of 4 should be very low. Indeed, the ⁷¹P NMR spectrum of the product isolated from the mother liquor contained only a signal for HPBu²₂.

We attempted an independent synthesis of complex 2e by the action of HCl on Cp₂Nb(HPBu⁵₂)H (vide infra). Complex 2e was obtained in the form of a light-grey powder, insoluble in nonpolar solvents. It exhibits bands at 2286 cm⁻¹ and 1664 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum attributable to the P-H and Nb-H stretching modes, respectively. Unfortunately, 2e decomposes in the polar solutions (methanol, acetone and D₂O) preventing recording of the NMR spectra. Complex 2e is stable at room temperature at least for some hours and therefore cannot be the tustable insertion product in the reaction 5. Therefore, we conclude that the insertion mechanism is not operative for reaction 5 because of the bulkiness of ClPBu¹₂ and another mechanism must be considered. The cause of re-citon 5 suggests that the induction period results in the formation of a reactive intermediate that is further involved in a chain reaction. The possible mechanism of this reaction is shown. in Scheme 1. Complex [Cp₂Nb₂]₂(π^{10} , μ -C₁₀H₄ $_0$ X(μ -Cl)(μ -H) is probably formed via an interaction of intermediate complex Cp₂NbCl with niobocene hydrides [13]. Reaction of Cp₂NbCl with the radical PBu¹, accounts for the formation of 4.



2.3. Reaction of Cp₂NbH₃ with chlorodiaminophosphine

The reaction of chlorodiaminophosphine $CIP(NR_2)_2$ (5) with 1 results in the precipitation of beige $[Cp,NbH_3(HP(NR_3),)]CI$ (2f) and a highly coloured brown solution (Eq. (6)).



Like its alkyl- and aryl-analogous complex **2f** is insoluble in non-polar solvents. Unfortunately, it apparently decomposes in alcohol and acetone solutions, as shown by a rapid colour change, most likely due to solvolysis of the labile P-N bond. Thus, the NMR spectra from the freshly prepared sample ot **2f** in these solvents always contain a variable number of signals for decomposition products along with the signals assigned to **2f**. The removal of solvent from the mother liquor results in a green-brown oily material that according to the ¹H NMR spectrum was a 95% pure (by integration of the Cp-region) Cp₂NbCl(HP(NR₃)₂) (**6f**). The structure of **6f** was established by NMR and IR data. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **6f** contains a doublet Cp signal at 4.82 (*J*(P-H) = 2.2 Hz) and a doublet P-H signal at 6.44 ppm (¹*J*(P-H) = 274 Hz), while the IR spectrum exhibits a band at 2167 cm⁻¹, attributable to a P-H bond stretching mode. Since the complex **2f** is stable at room temperature for hours, complex **6f** cannot be formed as a result of its thermal decomposition (thermolysis of complexes **2** to give **6** is discussed in detail below). Therefore, the simultaneous formation of **2f** and **6f** indicates two competitive routes in the reaction of **1** with **5**. The first route, providing **2f**, is an insertion reaction analogous to the insertion of the chlorophosphines to give **2**, the second one, affording **6f**, will be discussed in more detail below (Section 2.7.)

2.4. Reaction of Cp, NbH, with chlorophosphites

A different route was found in the reaction of 1 with the cyclic chlorophosphile 7 (Eq. (7)). Addition of 2 equivalents of 7 to a toluene solution of 1 gives a small amount of Cp_2NbCl_2 (16%) and a steady crystallisation of a new cationic d² complex of $[Cp_2Nb(PH(OR)_2)_2]Cl$ (8) (65% yie¹d³ as a toluene solvate. Reaction of 1 with only one equivalent of chlorophosphine 7 gives 8 in only 13% yie with a mixture of unidentified products. After crystallization from toluene, complex 8 is no longer soluble in nonpolar solvents.

$$Cp_2NbH_3 + 2 CP < 0 \longrightarrow Cp_2Nb(HP < 0 \longrightarrow)_2 Cl + Cp_2NbCl_2$$

$$65\% \qquad 16\% \qquad (7)$$

However, as with other cationic niobocene complexes described in this study, it is soluble in acetone and methanol, enabling its NMR to be recorded. The structure of **8** was unequivocally established on the basis of the spectroscopic data. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **8** exhibits a Cp resonance as a triplet at 5.38 ppm (J(P-H) = 2.8 Hz), supporting the presence of two equivalent phosphorus centers; the P-H protons give rise to a doublet at 7.99 ppm (¹J(P-H) = 366 Hz). The presence of a P-H bond also follows from a band at 2250 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum. Recrystallization from acctones afforded yellow crystals of **8** in the solvate-free form, suitable for X-ray diffraction.

The most likely mechanism for the formation of complex 8 in reaction 7 is that one analogous to reaction 4. It proceeds via an intermediate insertion product 2g which further reacts with 7 to give 8 [14]. Cp₂NbCl₂ could emerge as a result of the consumption of released HCl by the basic Cp₂NbH₃ (1) [15]. Alternatively, we cannot exclude the possibility of a competitive mechanism, probably of a radical nature, leading to Cp₂NbCl₃. Supporting the latter possibility is the fact that when the reaction between 7 and the ' $_{\rm F}$ artially oxidised' complex 1 [16] was carried out, the yield of compound 8 was highly diminished but a substantial amount of chlorophosphine complex Cp₂Nb(HPR₃)Cl (6g) (29%) was obtain d. We think that traces of oxygen may serve as the initiator of a radical process which produces 6g.

Cationic group 5 metallocene complexes have rarely been structurally characterized [17] and only one structure for a niobocene complex with a terminal phosphine ligand has been reported [17b]. Therefore, the X-ray analysis of 8 was undertaken to obtain more structural information about these two types of compounds and to support the result of Eq. (8). The molecular structure of 8 is shown in Fig. 1 and important bond distances and angles are given in Table 1. In the solid state, compound 8 consists of a positively charged niobocene diphosphine complex and chloride counterion. No unusual contacts were found between the metallocene moieties and the chloride anion. The metallocene part of compound 8 exhibits a pseudotetrahedral geometry, typical for disubstituted metallocene complexes. The P(1)-Nb-P(2) angle of 82.96(4)° is in a good agreement with the value calculated for d² metallocenes [18]. The Nb-P distances of 2.462(1) Å and 2.479(1) Å are considerably shorter than the corresponding distances found for d² Cp, Nb(PHPr.)Br (2.567(2) Å, vide infra) and Cp.Nb(PHPh.)PPh. [14] (2.529(2) Å for the metal-phosphine bond). The positive charge could account in principle for this shortening. However, comparison with another ionic niobocene phosphine complex [Cp,Nb(CO)P(Ph,)SCH,]I (Nb-P bond of 2.579(1) Å) [17b] and a related ionic tantalum compound [Cp,Ta(PPh,CH,-),]Cl [19] (metal-phosphine bond lengths of 2.534 (1) Å and 2.536(1) Å) shows that consideration of the charge alone is not decisive. Thus, the more important factor seems to be a rehybridization effect caused by the presence of electronegative oxygen substituents at phosphorus. This provides more phosphorus p-orbital character for the P-O bonds, thus leaving more s-character for the P-Nb and P-H bonds and so causing their contraction [20]. Another possible factor contributing to this contraction could be the donation of a metallocene lone pair (orbital a, in Ref. [18]), located in the NbP, bisecting plane of the niobocene mc. t, to a P-O bond antibonding orbital located in the O-P-O plane — so-called 'negative hyperconjugation' [21]. Sowey, in our case this possibility can be ruled out since the angles between two O-P-O planes and the NbP, bisec an plane (56.5 and 55.8°) deviate too much from 0° to allow any significant orbital overlap.



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the metallocene part of 8.

Selected band distances [A] and angles [deg] for 8					
P(1)-O(1)	1.613(3)	P(2)-O(4)	1.610(3)		
P(1)-O(2)	1.612(3)	P(2)-O(3)	1.612(3)		
P(1)H	1.000	P(2)-H	1.000		
Bond angles			7 *		
P(1)-Nb(1)-P(2)	82.96(4)	C(4)-P(2)-O(3)	102.2(2)		
O(1)-P(1)-O(2)	103.8(2)	Cp1-Cp2 ^a	141.0		

Table 1 Selected hand distances [Å] and angles [deg] for

^aCp1-Cp2 denotes the plane between cyclopentadienyl rings.

Reactions of 1 with an excess of ClP(OEt)₂ and with the cyclic chlorophosphite 9 lead to the formation of Cp₂NbCl₂ as the main organometallic product. In the case of chlorophosphite 9 two phosphorus by-products were observed by the ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. One of them exhibits a singlet at 127.2 ppm and has no phosphorus bound proton. The nature of this product remains unknown. The second product was identified as phosphorane 10 (doublet at -22.9 ppm, J(P-H) = 909 Hz) (Eq. (8)) [22].



At present the nature of these reactions is not quite clear. Our working hypothesis is that an electron or hydride transfer mechanism is valid for the reactions of 1 with these chlorophosphites. An analogous route may be operative for reactions 5 and 6.

2.5. Preparation of hydridophosphines Cp, NbH(PHR,)

The cationic complexes $[Cp_2NbH_2(PHR_2)]C1$ (2) are useful precursors to a number of other phosphorus substituted niobocenes. For example, deprotonation of the complexes 2 can be achieved easily with aqueous NaOH to give phosphinohydrides $Cp_2NbH(PHR_2)$ (11) in high yields (Eq. (9)). This reaction is carried out in two-phase system water/toluene enabling easy extraction and isolation of the product. In our earlier study we showed that proton abstraction from $[Cp_2NbH_2(PHPh_2)]^+$ occurs at the P-H bond. Therefore, deprotonation of 2d must initially produce the dihydridephosphido species $[Cp_2NbH_2(PPh_2)]$ which is then transformed eventually into $Cp_2NbH(PHPh_2)$ (11d) by intramolecular insertion of PPh₂ into an Nb-H bond [7a]. This mechanism is consistent with the lower stability of the P-H bond as compared with the Nb-H bond and is apparently applicable to deprotonation reactions of other complexes of the type $[Cp_2NbH_2(PHR_2)]^+$.



Further support for this mechanism is the observation that deprotonation of an analogous tantalum complex $[Cp_2TaH_2(PHP_2)]Cl$ gives the dihydridophosphide $Cp_2TaH_2(PHp_2)$ which is a thermodynamically stable product in this system [7b]. In this context it is interesting to note that proton abstraction from the molydolenum complex $Cp(CO)_2Mo(PHPh_2)H$ gives the phosphine complex. $[Cp(CO)_2Mo(PHPh_2)H]^2$ results in the phosphidohydride $Cp_2Mo(PHPh_2)H$ [6d]. Thus, we conclude that the formation of the phosphino versus phosphido-hydride form is a function of the stability of the metal-hydride bond that in turn depends on the nature of the metal and its supporting ligands.

The complexes 11 contain two useful functionalities, namely the P-H and Nb-H bonds. They are, therefore, valuable precursors to a number of other phosphorus substituted complexes [14]. However, in the case of R = Bu' and

OR' the corresponding precursors 2e, g for the preparation of 11e, g are not accessible by the insertion reaction (Eq. (3)) and the development of alternative routes was required. The interaction between the available anionic complex $[Cp_2NbH_2]Li$ [24] (12) and CIPR₂ serves as this alternative. In the case of unhindered phosphines this method is laborious and produces lower yields (30% for R = Ph [7a]) than the insertion/deprotonation technique. This is caused by the possibility of a subsequent reaction between the emerging $Cp_2NbH(PHR_2)$ and starting chlorophosphine to give $[Cp_2Nb(PHR_2)_2]CI$ [7a] and eventually a mixture of compounds. In the case of bulkier R = Bu' insertion of CIPBu¹₂ into the Nb–H bond of $Cp_2NbH(PHBu¹_2)$ (11e) is suppressed and the complex 11e can be obtained according to Eq. (10) in high yield (98%). It is noteworthy that when reaction between 12 and CIPBu¹₂ is carried above $-40^{\circ}C$ a substantial amount of bis(niobocene) [25] is produced. This probably forms due to the oxidation of 12 by CIPBu¹₂ to give HPBu¹₂ and [Cp₂NbH], the latter dimerizing to give bis(niobocene) [25].

Reaction between 12 and chlorophosphite 7 gave a mixture of Cp₂NbH₃ and the target complex Cp₂NbH(PH($-OCH_2-)_2CMe_2$) (11g) in the ratio 1:3 from which complex 11g was isolated in 22% yield after recrystallization from ether.

Complex 11d eliminates dihydrogen at room temperature selectively transforming into the ortho-metallated complex Cp_2 NbH(PHPh($-C_n$ H₅-o)) [7a]. In contrast, the complexes 11a, b, c (with more basic alkylphosphines) and complex 11g are stable even when heated up to 70°C. The strained complex 11e decomposes at 50°C to give unidentified products.

2.6. Preparation of halophosphines $Cp_NbX(PHR_1)$ (X = Cl, Br)

Complexes 2a, b, d easily eliminate dihydrogen to give chlorophosphine adducts Cp₂NbCl(PHR₂) (**6a**, b, d) (Eq. (11)). Similarly, thermolysis of 2c gives 6c, although a small amount of !1c is also formed in this case. For R = Ph thermolysis occurs readily at room temperature while for R = alkyl heating at 50–60°C in THF is required. Since the starting complexes 2a–d are insoluble in nonpolar solvents, the products 6 can be isolated easily from the reaction mixture by filtration and removal of the solvent. Thus, the insertion/thermolysis technique provides an efficient access to 6 from 1. This method is restricted to such chlorophosphines that give the initial insertion product 2. Nevertheless, as stated above, complexes Cp₂NbCl(PH(N(Me)CH₂-)₂) (6f) and Cp₂NbCl(PH($-OCH_2-$)₂CMe₂) (6g) were obtained among the products from the reaction of the corresponding chlorophosphines with 1.

$$[Cp_2NbH_2(PHR)_2]Cl \xrightarrow{2} Cp_2NbCl(PHR_2) + H_2$$
R: Et Bu Pr¹ Ph

a b c d

(11)

Alternative approaches to the halophosphine derivatives of niobocene are by reaction of PR₃ with $[Cp_2NbCl]_2$ [26] and by hydride for halogen exchange in Cp_1NbH(PR₃) [27]. The former method is sometimes inconvenient due to difficulties in isolating the reaction product in pure form [26d]. To compare the latter method with our insertion/thermolysis approach, we allowed Cp_2NbH(PHPr¹) to react with one equivalent of EtBr. This reaction occurs immediately, affording a substantial amount of Cp₂NbBr₂ (13) together with the target Cp₂NbBr(PHPr¹₂) (14) and starting 11e. Complex 13 apparently forms as a result of oxidation of 14 by EtBr [19a] and this competing redox reaction is a limitation of this procedure. Formation of 13 means that the reaction of 11e and 14 with EtBr occurs at comparable rates. Given the difference in the electronegativity of the hydride and bromide ligands, this result seemed to be surprising and we decided that X-ray structure determination of 14 could shed more light on it.

The molecular structure of 14 is shown in Fig. 2 and important bond distances and angles are given in Table 2. Complex 14 exhibits a pseudotetrahedral geometry typical for $d^2 Cp_2 NbXL (X = one-electron ligand, L = two-electron ligand) complexes. An unusual feature of 14 is the increased P(1)-Nb-Br bond angle of 92.0(1)° [18], consistent with a strong mutual repulsion between two bulky PHPr¹₂ and Br ligands. Supporting this view are the long Nb-P bond distance (2.567(2) Å) as compared with Cp_2Nb(PHPh_2)PPh_2 [14] (2.529(2) Å for the metal-phosphine bond), and the very long Nb-Br bond distance of 2.753(1) Å, as compared with 2.673(5) Å found in Cp_2NbBr(N_2CPh(BrC_6H_4))$



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of 15. Hydrogen atoms on carbons, except those on C(15) and C(16), are omitted.

[28]. Furthermore, the shortest contact between the Br and PHPr¹₂ groups is the Br-H(16) contact of 2.652 Å that is 0.47 Å smaller than the sum of van der Waals radii. Therefore we conclude that complex 14 is highly strained. Possibly it is the strained nature of 14 that facilitates its reaction with EtBr compared to the reaction of 11e with EtBr, in spite of the presence of the electron-withdrawing bromine substituent.

2.7. The concept of carbene-like nature of chlorophosphines

 Cp_2NbH_2 is an electronically and coordinatively saturated complex. This means that the reaction shown in Eq. (3) inevitably occurs at the Nb-H bond. Two features of this reaction are noteworthy. First of all, the speed of this transformation is very high even at low temperatures (-40 to -20° C), implying a small activation barrier. Secondly, the observation of an induction period for the precipitation of 2c in the case of CIPPr; and quenching of the reaction 3 in the case of the bulky CIPBu, imply a close proximity of the reactants in the transition state. Both these features are characteristic for the concerted reactions, suggesting that a direct insertion of chlorophosphine into the Nb-H bond occurs. What is the nature of this process? We notice that there is a certain similarity in the electronic structure of chlorophosphines CIPR, and the singlet methylene CH, [29]. Thus, the phosphorus lone pair ($\psi_{\rm P}$ in Fig. 3) corresponds to the a_1 orbital of CH₂ and the antibonding P–Cl orbital (ψ_{P-Cl}^{*} in Fig. 3, note that ψ_{P-Cl}^{*} is the LUMO for ClPR₂) corresponds to the vacant b_2 orbital of CH₂. Moreover, in respect to the insertion reaction with the symmetry C, both these fragments, CIPR, and CH,, are isolobal [30]. Therefore, the insertion of CIPR, must be quite analogous to the insertion of methylene into a transition metal-hydride bond. It is reasonable to assume that the reaction starts with pre-coordination of the chlorophosphine along the polarized M-H bond [15] (structure A in Scheme 2). The resultant lengthening of the M-H bond is accompanied by pivoting the chlorophosphine around the M-H vector (B). The symmetry of this reaction coordinate is C, and the corresponding orbitals of CIPR, and CH, are of the same symmetry. Near the transition state the orbital interactions probably involve the interaction of the P-CI antibonding orbital with the M-H bonding orbital and the interaction of the M-H antibonding orbital with the phosphorus lone pair (Fig. 4). Presumably, the metal atom preserves its 18 electron configuration and the coordination saturation along the reaction coordinate thus preventing the formation of a stable M-Cl bond.

Table 2 Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (in deg) for 15

Bond distances					
Nb-Br	2.753(1)	NbP	2.567(2)		
P-C(11)	1.882(9)	P-C(14)	1.870(8)		
P-H	1.513				
Bond angles					
Br-Nb-P	92.0(1)	Nb-P-C(11)	118.4(3)		
Nb-P-C(14)	124.0(3)	C(11)-PC(14)	105,5(4)		
Cp1-Cp2*	133.5				

*Cp1-Cp2 denotes the plane between cyclopentadienyl rings.



It is interesting that the chloride anion abstraction from CIPR₂ results in the phosphenium cation PR₂⁺ that is an isolobal analog of carbene [31]. In this process the $\psi_{p,c,r}$ orbital of CIPR₂ becomes the vacati orbital of PR₂⁺. The similarity between carbenes and phosphinidenes has long been accepted [31] whereas a relationship between the electronic structure of carbenes and halophosphines has not previously been proposed. However, this relationship has a chemical consequences. Thus, in 1966 Nefedov and Monakov pointed out that the cyclization of Cl₁PR₃₋, with 1,3-dienes (Eq. (12)) is analogous to the cyclization of methylene with dienes to form cyclopentenes [32]. However, these authors did not recognise the similarity of the electronic structures of chlorophosphines and methylene, and even after their work the usual way to explain the reactivity of halophosphines was in terms of their electrophilic or biphilic behaviour [33]. The latter is often only referred to as the 'dienophilic properties of phosphines' [34]. Nevertheless, additions of halophosphines to other unsaturated organic compounds, such as olefins and acetylenes etc are also known [33,35]. These reactions can be described now in terms of the carbene-like nature of halophosphines. Our proposed concept therefore allows us to explain a wealth of reactivity of halophosphines from one point of view, based on the nature of the electronic structure of halophosphines.

$$+ \operatorname{Cl}_{x}\operatorname{PR}_{3-x} \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{\substack{i=1\\c \mid x-i \mid R_{3-x}}}^{+} \operatorname{Cl}_{x-i}^{-} \operatorname{Cl}_{x-i}^{-}$$
(12)

ę

Let us now look at what happens as the electronegativity of substituents R at phosphorus increases. The theoretical and experimental data on the insertion reactions of carbenoids show that increasing electronegativity of substituents R at a carbenoid center results in high activation barriers and a late transition state of insertion [36]. For CIPR₂ the increase in electronegativity of R pulls the P–Cl antibonding orbital down in energy, increasing its electron affinity [37], and also diminishes the electron-donating ability of the lone pair of chlorophosphine CIPR₂. Therefore, increasing electronegativity of substituents R should result in the stabilization of the structure A in Scheme 2 in respect to insertion. Increasing electron or hydride transfer onto CIPR₂ possible. It was shown that electron ransfer onto chlorophosphites results in phosphorus radicals and chloride anion [38]. This transfer should generate electronically and coordinatively unsaturated species like [Cp₂NbH₂]^{*}, amenable to the formation of the Nb–Cl bond, HPR₂ [39] and chloride ion. Probably, this proposed electron or hydride transfer mechanism is possible for the dialkylchlorophosphines too but occurs much slower than the insertion. This explains the slow reaction between 1 and



Scheme 2. Mechanism of insertion of halophosphine into the M-H bond of Cp2MH3 (M = Nb.Ta).



CIPBu¹₂ for which insertion is not possible for steric reasons. The observation of Cp₂NbClPBu¹₂ in reaction 5 is also in accord with the radical route. The smooth increase in electronegativity of R from alkyls to amido and eventually to alkoxy substituents provides the fine tuning of the mcchanism. The only exception from this scrice is the reaction of 1 with the cyclic chlorophosphite 7. We think that in this case the position of the LUMO in 7, crucial for the type of mechanism, is determined by the small bond angles at phosphorus. It is known that small angles cause an increase in the energy of the LUMO [37]. In 7 the small bond angles at phosphorus are caused by the conformation of the six-membered ring [40].

3. Conclusions

This report presents the first systematic study on the reactivity of a transition metal-hydrogen bond toward halophosphines. We have shown that, depending on the nature of the substituent R in CIPR₂, two reaction routes exist. The first one, insertion of a halophosphine into a transition metal-hydride bond, is realized in the case of unhindered alkyl and aryl R groups and gives the ionic complexes $[Cp_2NbH_2(PHR_2)]CI$ (2). The second route, leading to the intermediate complex $Cp_2NbCI(PHR_2)$ and eventually to Cp_2NbCI_2 , may occur either by an electron or hydride transfer step and operates for electronegative (OR') or bulky (Bu') substituents R. In the case of R being an amido group, both routes compete, while for the cyclic chlorophosphite 11 one more reaction path was found leading to the cationic diphosphine complex **8**. The insertion reaction together with a wide range of other reactions of halophosphines with organic molecules can be explained from one point of view, namely the proposed concept of the carbenoid nature of halophosphines.

The rich chemistry of the insertion products 2 is exemplified here by two principal reactions. These are (i) thermolysis to produce $Cp_2NbCl(PHR_2)$ and (ii) deprotonation to give $Cp_2NbH(PHR_2)$. The insertion/thermolysis and insertion/deprotonation methods are probably the most convenient routes to these reactive molecules, which contain reactive P-H and M-H or M-Cl functionalities. The application of these functionalities to the synthesis of other phosphorus substituted group 5 metallocenes is now in progress.

4. Experimental section

All manipulations were carried out in vacuo using conventional S.:hlenk techniques. Solvents were dried over sodium or sodium benzophenone ketyle and distilled into the reaction vessel by high vacuum gas phase transfer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR-400 spectrometer (¹H, 400.0 MHz; ¹³C, 100.4 MHz, ¹³P 161.9 MHz), ¹H NMR spectra were referenced to the residual protiosolvent (relatively to SiMe₁). ³¹P NMR spectra were referenced to the residual protiosolvent (relatively to SiMe₁). ³¹P NMR spectra were referenced to the residual protiosolvent (relatively to SiMe₁). ³¹P NMR spectra were referenced to the residual protiosolvent (relatively to SiMe₁). ³¹P NMR spectra were referenced to 85% H₃PO₄ as external standard. IR spectra were obtained in Nujol with a Perkin-Elmer series spectrometer. Mass-spectra (EI) were obtained with a CH7 Varian MAT spectrometer. CIPEt₂, CIPPt₂ and CIP(OEL)₂ were purchased from Merck. CIPBu₂, CIPBu₂, S and other chlorophosphites were prepared according to standard methods. Cp₂NbH₃ was prepared by the modified procedure as reported earlier [7a]. [Cp₂NbH₂]Li was synthesised according to literature method [26]. Elemental analysis was performed in the analytical laboratory of the Chemistry Department of the Moscow State University. Compounds [Cp₂NbH₂(PHPh₂)]CI (2d) and Cp₂Nb(PHPh₂)H (11d) were previously reported [7a].

4.1. Preparation of [Cp2 NbH2(PHEt,)]Cl (2a)

1.57 g (6.95 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₃ in 100 mL of THF was treated by 0.84 mL of ClPEt₂ (6.95 mmol). White voluminous precipitate was immediately formed. The resulted mixture was vigorously stirred and the solution

193

decanted. The resulted precipitate was washed twice with 35 mL of ether and dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.950 g (5.56 mmol, 80%). IR (KBr): $\nu_{P,-H} = 2304 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\nu_{Nb-H} = 1717 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (methanol-d_4): δ 5.65 (d, I/P-H) = 1.1 Hz, 10, Cp), 4.60 (dt, $^{1}J/P-H$) = 354.9 Hz and $^{3}J(H-H) = 5.9 \text{ Hz}$, 10, Cp), 4.60 (dt, $^{1}J/P-H$) = 75.4 Hz, 40 $^{3}J(P-H) = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$, 6, CH₃), -2.70 (dd, $^{2}J(P-H) = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$ and $^{3}J(H-H) = 5.1 \text{ Hz}$, Nb-H). ¹³C NMR (methanol-d_4): δ 93.7 (s, Cp), 21.2 (d, J/P-C) = 26.6 Hz, 10.5 (d, J/P-C) = 5.3 Hz). MS (EI: 312 (Cp₂NbH₂(PHEt₂))²) 3 349 and 351 (Cp₂NbH₂(PHEt₂)Cl)⁺. Anal. Calc. for C₁₄H₂₃ClPNb: C, 47.59; H, 6.61. Found: C, 47.50; H, 6.28.

4.2. Preparation of [Cp, NbH₂(PHBu₂)]Cl (2b)

1 mL of CIPBu₂ (7.78 mmol) was added to a suspension of 0.879 g (3.89 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₃ in 40 mL of Et₂O. The resulted mixture was vigorously stirred for 5 min, after that the solution was decanted from the white voluminous precipitate. The precipitate was washed twice with 20 mL of ether and dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.956 g (2.35 mmol, 60.4%). IR (nujol): $\nu_{P-H} = 2300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\nu_{Nb-H} = 1740 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 5.72 (d, /(P-H) = 0.5 Hz, 10, Cp), 4.77 (dt, J(P-H) = 373.8 Hz and ³J(H-H) = 3.6 Hz, 1, P-H), 2.03-1.41 (m, 12, (CH₂)₃), 1.00 (t, J(H-H) = 7.1 Hz, 6, CH₃), -2.10 (dd, J(P-H) = 74.2 Hz and ³J(H-H) = 3.6 Hz, ND-H). ¹³C NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 93.8 (s, Cp), 28.3 (d, J(P-C) = 9.5 Hz), 27.9 (d, J(P-C) = 9.8 Hz), 24.2 (d, J(P-C) = 11.8 Hz), 13.41 (s, CH₃). ¹³P NMR (methanol-d₄): δ -6.9 ppm. MS: 370.0 (Cp₂NbH(PHBu₂)). HRMS: Calc. for C_{17/1}H₃PNb 370.11105. Found: 370.11045. Anal. Calc. for C₁₈H₃(CIPNb: C, 53.15; H, 7.68, Cl, 8.72. Found: C, 52.57; H, 7.30, Cl, 8.45.

4.3. Preparation of [Cp2 NbH2(PHPr2)]Cl (2c)

Solution of 0.65 mL (4.10 mmol) of ClPPr₂¹ in 5 mL of THF was added to a solution of 0.928 g (4.10 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₃ in 25 mL of THF. In 5–6 s the initially transparent solution became opaque, and white flakes of 2c were formed. Sedimentation was completed within 10–15 min. Light blue solution was decanted, and the precipitate was washed with 20 mL of THF and dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.09 g (2.87 mmol, 70%). ¹H NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 5.71 (c, 10, Cp), 4.47 (d, J(P–H) = 346 Hz, 1, P–H), 2.36 (m, 2, CH), 1.25 (dd, J(H–H) = 6.8 Hz and J(P–H) = 16.8 Hz, 6. CH₃), 1.21 (dd, J(H–H) = 7.2 Hz and J(P–H) = 17.6 Hz, 6, CH₃), -2.34 (d, J(P–H) = 68.8 Hz, Nb–H). ¹³C NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 94.2 (s, Cp), 25.6 (d, J(P–C) = 24.2 Hz, CH), 21.0 (s, CH₃), 19.7 (s, CH₃). ³¹P NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 3.55 ppm. Anal. Calc. for C₁₆H₂₇ClPNb: C, 50.74; H, 7.19. Found: C, 50.28; H. 6.80.

4.4. Reaction of Cp, NbH, with ClPBu',

Solution of 0.325 g (1.44 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₃ in 40 mL of ether was treated by 0.255 g (1.41 mmol) of ClPBu¹₂ in 3 mL of THF. In approximately 30 min the solution turned an intense blue and the formation of a dark precipitate appeared. The solution was decanted from the precipitate. Solvent was removed in vacuo, and the NMR spectra of the residue showed the presence of HPBu¹₂. The precipitate was washed by toluene and dried in vacuo to give 0.132 g (32%) of Cp₂NbCl₂. The substance obtained from the toluene solution mainly consist of $[Cp_2Nb_2]_2(\eta^{10}, \mu-C)_1H_1(\lambda, \mu-C)(\mu-H)$. The presence of an oily impurity hampered the yield determination.

4.5. Reaction of Cp, NbH, with ClP(-N(Me)CH₂-)₂ (5) to give 2f and 6f

Solution of 0.704 g (3.11 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₃ in 50 mL Et₂O was treated by 0.43 mL of 5. Grey-bedge precipitate and green-brown solution were formed. The solution was filtered off and the precipitate dried in vacuo. Yield of **27**: 0.248 g. Dissolving this compound in MeOD or acetone results initially in a greenish solution that then turns brown apparently due to the solvolysis of the P-N bonds. The signals assigned to **2f**: IR (KBr): $\nu_{P-H} = 2451 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\nu_{Nb-H} = 1765 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 7.26 (d, J(P-H) = 299.3 Hz, 1, P-H), 5.22 (d, J(P-H) = 2.6 Hz, 10, Cp), 2.84 (d, J(P-H) = 13.8 Hz, 4, CH₂), 2.66 (d, J(P-H) = 12.8 Hz, 6, CH₃) - 2.68 (d, J(P-H) = 79.7 Hz, Nb-H). ¹³C NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 93.2 (Cp), 53.4 (CH₂). 34.0 (CH₃). ³¹P NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 166.0 ppm.

The solution obtained after filtration was dried in vacuo to give 0.494 g of a green-brown compound **6f**. Spectroscopic data for **6f**. IR (nujol): $\nu_{P-H} = 2167 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 6.44 (d, J(P-H) = 274.0 Hz, 1, P - -H), 4.82 (d, J(P-H) = 2.2 Hz, 10, Cp), 3.02–2.40 (m, 10, CH₂ and CH₃). ¹³C NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 95.6 (s, Cp), 53.9 (d, J(P-C) = 7.3 Hz), 36.1 (d, J(P-C) = 6.2 Hz). ³¹P NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 174.3 ppm.

4.6. Reaction of Cp₂NbH₃ with ClP(OEt)₂

Solution of 0.292 g (1.305 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₃ in 30 mL Et₂O was treated by a solution of 0.19 mL of ClP(OEt)₂ in 5 mL of Et₁O. No immediate changes were observed. A black precipitate of Cp₂NbCl₂ is formed during some days that was confirmed by the ESR spectrum. Solution was decanted and the precipitate dried in vacuo. Yield of Cp,NbCl.: 0.217 g (57.2%).

4.7. Reaction Cp₂NbH₃ with ClP(O₂C₆H₄)

Solution of 0.722 g (3.19 mmol) Cp_2NbH_3 in 15 mL THF was treated by 0.70 mL (6.4 mmol) of $CIP(O_2C_6H_4)$. An immediate reaction occurred, accompanied by the release of dihydrogen, formation of a dark solution and precipitation of Cp_2NbCl_2 (tested by ESR). The solution was decanted from precipitate and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resultant residue was washed with ether: The ether solution had burgundy colour and did not contain any cyclopentadienyl compounds. The NMR spectrum showed the presence of two phosphorus compounds, one of them being $HP(O_2C_6H_4)_2$. Yield of Cp_2NbCl_2 : 0.753 g (80.2%).

4.8. Preparation of [Cp2Nb(HP(-OCH2)2CMe2)2]Cl (8)

Solution of 0.461 g (2.04 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₃ in 30 mL of toluene was treated by 0.55 mL (4.04 mmol) of CIP($-OCH_2$)₂CMe₂. In some minutes the formation of a small amount of brown precipitate (Cp₂NbCl₂ by ESR) was observed. Solution was decanted from precipitate and left at room temperature. Within a week a yellow crystalline, precipitate was formed, shown by NMR to be the toluene solvate of **8**: Cp₂Nb(HP($-OCH_2$)₂CMe₂)₂Cl \cdot 0.5C₇H₈. Solution was decanted and the precipitate dried in vacuo. Yield 0.502 g. (0.867 mmol, 43%). The second crop of **8** was obtained from the solution with the total yield of 65%. The solvate free form of **8** can be obtained by recrystallization from aceton. Yield of Cp₂NbCl₂: 0.097 g. IR (nujol): $v_{p-H} = 2250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 7.99 (d, ¹/(P-H) = 366.4 Hz, 2. P-H), 5.38 (t. J(P-H) = 2.8 Hz. 10. Cp), 3.99 (m, 8, CH₂), 1.33 (s, 6, CH₃), 0.85 (s, (·, CH₃). ¹⁵C NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 91.4 (d, Cp. J(P-C) = 10.6 Hz), 82.0 (OCH₂), 33.0 (C(CH₃)₂), 22.1 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃), ¹⁵C NMR (methanol-d₄): δ 214.8 ppm. Anal. Calc. for C_{23.5}H₃₄ClO₂P₂Nb: C, 49.27; H, 6.16. Found: C, 49.79; H, 5.97.

4.9. Preparation of Cp, Nb(HPBu,)H (11b)

0.467 g (1.14 mmol) of Cp₂Nb(HPBu₂)H₂Cl in 30 mL of toluene was treated by 10 mL of 0.5 M aqueous solution of NaOH. The mixture was vigorously stirred. Within some minutes the precipitate dissolved and the organic phase turned dark red. The toluene solution was decanted and dried in vacuo. The red residue was recrystallized from Et₂O. Yield: 60%. ¹H NMR (toluene-d₈): δ 4.42 (d. J(P-H) = 2.4 Hz, 10, Cp), 4.17 (d. J(P-H) = 297.1 Hz, 1, P-H), 1.66–1.02 (in, 12, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 0.81 (t. J(P-H) = 7.2 Hz, 6. CH₃). -7.99 (d. J(P-H) = 26.6 Hz, Nb-H). ¹⁵C NMR (toluene-d₈): δ 31.88 (s, Cp), 24.2 (d. J(P-C) = 7.2 Hz, P-CH₂), 24.2 (s), 21.4 (s), 14.0 (s), 1.3 (s). ³¹P NMR (toluene-d₈): δ 3.0 ppm. Anal. Calc. for C₁₈H₃₀PNb: C. 58.38: H. 8.17. Found: C. 57.85: H. 8.01.

4.10. Preparation of Cp, Nb(H)PHPr; (11c)

Method A. 0.18 mL (1.22 mmol) of DBU was added to 0.463 g (1.22 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₂PHPr₂Cl in 8 mL of toluene. The mixture was stirred for 5 h and left overnight. The resulted solution was decanted from precipitate and dried in vacuo. The product was recrystallized from pentane. Yield: 0.377 g (1.10 mmol, 90%), red crystals.

Method B. 2.33 g (6.14 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₂PHPr₂Cl in 50 mL of toluene was treated by 70 mL of 0.25 M solution of NaOH. The mixtude was stirred for 2 h, after that the solution was decanted and dried in vacuo. The resulted red substance was recrystallized from hexane. Yield 1.99 g (5.81 mmol, 95%).

¹H NMR (toluene-d_g): δ 4.40 (d, J(P-H) = 2.0 Hz, 10, Cp), 3.56 (d, J(P-H) = 296.0 Hz, 1, P-H), 1.65 (m, 2, CH), 0.98 and 0.94 (dd, J(P-H) = 12.6 Hz and J(H-H) = 6.8 Hz, CH₃), -8.15 (d, J(P-H) = 24.8 Hz, Nb-H). ¹³C NMR (toluene-d_g): δ 81.6 (s, Cp), 24.0 (d, J(P-C) = 17.5 Hz, CH), 21.2 and 20.6(s, CH₃). ³¹P NMR (toluene-d_g): δ 76.1 ppm. The performance of an elemental analysis was precluded by the extreme sensitivity of the substance to air (pyrophoric in microcrystalline form!).

4.11. Preparation of Cp₂Nb(HPBu¹₂)H (11e)

1.3 mL of Bu¹₂PCl in 10 mL of THF was added to 1.59 g (6.85 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₂Li in 50 mL of THF at -78° C. Solution turned red-brown. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The solution was decanted and dried in vacuo to give red-brown substance. This material was extracted by 70 mL of ether, filtration and solvent removal gave 2.48 g (98%) of red crystalline substance. IR (nujol): $\nu_{p-1i} = 2335$ cm⁻¹, $\nu_{\rm Nb-H} = 1692 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (benzene-d_b): δ 4.45 (d, J(P-H) = 1.5 Hz, 5, Cp), 4.35 (d, J(P-H) = 2.2 Hz, 5, Cp), 3.61 (d, J(P-H) = 296,3 Hz, 1, P-H), 1.16 (d, J(P-H) = 12.3 Hz, 9, CH₃), 1.02 (d, J(P-H) = 11.8 Hz, 9, CH₃), -8.60 (d, J(P-H) = 21.1 Hz, Nb-H). ¹³C NMR (benzene-d_b): δ 83.0 and 82.1 (s, Cp), 33.2 (d, J(P-C) = 9.3 Hz, CH₃) and 31.8 (d, J(P-C) = 13.2 Hz, CH₃). ³¹P NMR (benzene-d_b): δ 94.0 ppm. Anal. Calc. for C₁₈H₃₀PNb: C, 58.38; H, 8.17. Found: C, 57.89; H, 7.95.

4.12. Preparation of Cp, NbH(HP(-OCH2)2CMe2) (11g)

0.3 mL of CIP(-OCH₂)₂CMe₂ was added at -78° C to a solution of 0.519 g (2.24 mmol) of Cp₂NbH₂Li in 10 mL of THF under intensive stirring. The solution rapidly turned brown. The mixture was gently warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 min. THF was removed in vacuo to give a brown oily material a mixture; of Cp₂NbH₃ and **11** in the ratio 1:3. This material was extracted by ether. Ether solution was filtered, concentrated to 50 mL and put into freezer (-26° C). Dark brown substance precipitated. The solution was decanted and the precipitate dried in vacuo. Yield 0.178 g (22%). IR (nujol): $\nu_{P-H} = 2429 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\nu_{Nb-H} = 1708 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 4.71 (d, J(P-H) = 3.0 Hz, 10, Cp), 7.56 (d, J(P-H) = 322.5 rdz, 1, P-H), 3.51 and 3.36 (m. 4, CH₂). 1.04 (s, 3, CH₃), -7.14 (d, J(P-H) = 20.0 Hz, Nb-H). ¹³C NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 82.8 (s, Cp), ².9.6 (d, J(P-C) = 12.6 Hz, CH₂), 2.2.5 (s, CH₃), and 2.1.5 (s, CH₃). ³¹P NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 230.0 ppm. Anal. Calc. for C₁₅H₂₂O₂PNb: C, 50.29; H. 6.19. Found: C, 49.93; H. 6.05.

4.13. Generation of [Cp, NbH,(HPBu',)]Cl (2e)

Solution of 11e in toluene was treated by excess of HCl. White flakes along with a dark precipitate were formed. This material was filtered, washed with ether and dried. The IR spectrum showed bands assigned to the P-H and Nb-H stretching vibrations. Attempted preparation of the NMR sample in methanol resulted in decomposition. IR (nujol): $v_{p-H} = 2286 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $v_{b-H} = 1700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

4.14. Preparation of Cp, Nb(HPEt,)Cl (6a)

1.887 g (5.38 mmol) of Cp₂ Nb(HPEt₂)H₂Cl was refluxed in THF for 5.5 h. On heating the initial white precipitate is dissolved, accompanied by the release of gas and formation of a green solution. The solution was decanted and dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.231 g (3.53 mmol, 6.59%) of greenish crystalline compound. IR (nujoi): $v_{P-H} = 2315 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (benzene-d_a): $\delta 4.74$ (d, J(P-H) = 2.0 Hz, 10, Cp), 3.86 (dt, ¹J(P-H) = 311.4 Hz, ³J(H-H) = 5.0 Hz, 1, P-H), 1.39 (dm, J(P-H) = 79.4 Hz and J(H-H) = 7.0 Hz, 8, CH₃), 0.80 (dt, J(P-H) = 4.1 Hz and J(H-H) = 7.0 Hz, 6, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (benzene-d_a): δ 3.44 ppm. Anal. Calc. for C₁₄H₃,CIPNb: C, 48.23: H, 6.07. Found: C, 47.89: H, 5.79.

4.15. Preparation of Cp, Nb(HPBu,)Cl (6b)

1.373 g (3.38 mmol) Cp₂Nb(HPBu₂)H₂Cl was heated in THF at 50°C for 4.5 h. On heating the initial white precipitate is dissolved, accompanied by the release of gas and formation of a dark green solution. The solution was decanted and dried in vacuo. Yi, Jd: 1.33 g (3.30 mmol, 97.6%). ¹H NMR (toluene-d_x): δ 4.68 (d. J_{P-H} = 2.1 Hz, 10, Cp), 3.92 (dt, J(P-H) = 328 Hz, J(H-H) = 4.2 Hz, 1, P-H), 1.6-1.1 (m. 8, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 0.75 (t, J(H-H) = 7.3 Hz, 6, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (toluene-d_x): δ 93.6 (Cp), 30.1 (d, J(P-C) = 5.0 Hz), 24.4 (d, J(P-C) = 9.6 Hz), 23.1 (d, J(P-C) = 20.3 Hz), 13.98 (s, CH₃). ³¹F NMR (toluene-d_x): δ 21.5 ppm. Anal. Calc. for C₁₈H₂₉CIPNb: C, 53.41; H, 7.22. Found: C, 52.99; H, 6.91.

4.16. Modified preparation of Cp₂Nb(HPPh₂)Cl (6d)

Was prepared analogously to 6b. Yield of brown compound: 95%. Characterization data were reported earlier [7a].

4.17. Thermolysis of 2c to give Cp₂Nb(HPPr¹₂)Cl (6c)

2.33 g (6.16 mmol) Cp_NbH_PHPr_Cl was refluxed 1 h in 100 mL of THF. White precipitate dissolved to form brown solution and small amount of black precipitate — Cp_NbCl_2. The solution was decanted from precipitate and dried in vacuo. ¹H NMR spectrum showed the presence of Cp_Nb(HPPr_1)Cl and Cp_Nb(HPPr_2)H in the ratio 5:1. Yield: 1.575 g. ¹H NMR (toluene-d_4): $\delta + 3.75$ (d. J(P-H) = 2.4 Hz. 10. Cp). 3.46 (dt. J(P-H) = 307 Hz and J(H-H) = 4.2 Hz 1, P-H), 1.94 (m, 2, CH), 0.97 (dd, J(P-H) = 13.6 Hz and J(H-H) = 7.0 Hz, 6, CH₃), 0.84 (dd, J(P-H) = 14.2 Hz and J(H-H) = 6.9 Hz, 6, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (toluene-d₈): δ 94.1 (Cp), 24.7 (d, J(P-C) = 17.4 Hz), 21.4 and 21.1 (with, CH₃). ³¹P NMR (toluene-d₈): δ 58.6 ppm.

4.18. Preparation of Cp, NbCl(HP(-OCH₂)₂CMe₂) (6g)

1.24 mL of CIP(-OCH₂)₂CMe₂ was added to a solution of 1.05 g (4.6 mmol) of blue Cp₂NbH₃ [28] in 40 mL of toluene under intensive stirring. The solution turned red-brown and dark substance precipitated. This precipitate was shown to be a mixture of **8** and unidentified products. The solution was decanted and put into freezer to give in a 1.5 month a dark red-brown crystalline **6g**. Yield 0.521 g (28.6%). IR (nujol): $p_{r-11} = 2300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 4.97 (d, J(P-H) = 2.7 Hz, 10, Cp), 7.61 (d, J(P-H) = 334.0 Hz, 1, P-H), 3.43 and 3.21 (m, 4, CH₂), 1.00 (s, 3, CH₃), 0.11 (s, 3, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (benzene-d₆): δ 94.3 (s, Cp), 81.0 (d, J(P-C) = 12.6 Hz, CH₂), 32.5 (c, C), 22.5 (s, CH₃) and 21.0 (s, CH₃). Anal. Calc. for C₁₅H₂₁CIPO₂Nb: C, 45.88; H, 5.39. Found: C, 45.49; H, 5.18.

4.19. Crystal structure determinations

8. The yellow crystals of 8 were grown from acetone solution. The crystals were covered by oil and mounted at -120° C on the Siemens three-circle diffractometer with area CCD detector (SMART system). The crystaliographic data are given in Table 3. The structure amplitudes for 5144 independent reflections were obtained after the usual Lorentz and polarization corrections. A semi-empirical absorbtion correction based on ψ -scans was applied. The structure was solved by direct methods [41] and refined by full-matrix least squares procedures [42], using $\omega([F_o^2] - [F_c^2])^2$ as the refined function. Only the reflections with $F_o^2 > 2\sigma F_o^2$ were used in the refinements. Hydrogen atoms one phosphorus were found from the difference map and other hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic temperature parameters. The largest peak in the final difference Fourier map had an electron density of 0.747 e Å⁻³ and the lowest hole was of -0.759 e Å⁻³. The location and magnitude of the residual electron density was of no chemical significance.

14. The green-brown crystals of 14 were grown from hexane solution. The crystals were covered by oil and mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer at -100° C. Crystallographic data are given in Table 3. The unit cell parameters were determined using 25 accurately centered reflections, 2 reflections were measured every 2 h for orientation and decay control. The structure amplitudes for 1765 independent reflections were obtained after the usual

Table 3 Crystal data, collection data and refinement parameters for 8 and 15

Empirical formula	C ₂₀ H ₃₂ CINbO ₁ P ₂	C14H28PBrNb	
Formula weight	526.76	421.15	
Temperature (K)	153(2)	293	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å	
Space group	P21/c	C2/c	
Unit cell dimensions			
a Å	9.5363(5)	25.779 (5)	
ЬÅ	14.7434(8)	8.820 (2)	
сÅ	16.2272(8)	15.537 (3)	
β deg	99.440(1)	102.64(3)	
Volume Å ³	2250.6(2)	3447.0(12)	
Z	4	8	
Density (calculated) Mg/m ³	1.555	1.623	
Absorption coefficient mm ⁻¹	0.819	0.310	
θ range (°) for data collection	4.53 to 28.41	2.69 to 26.99	
Index ranges	-12 < h < 12	-32 < h < 32	
	-19 < k < 12	0 < <i>k</i> < 11	
	- 19 < 1 < 21	0 < 1 < 19	
Max. and min. transmission (correction)	0.7465 and 0.6345	0.824 and 1.192	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.371	1.110	
Final R indices [1 > 2sigma(1)]	R1 = 0.0564,	R1 = 0.0378,	
	wR2 = 0.1271	wR2 = 0.1025	

Lorentz and polarization corrections. The structure was solved by direct methods [41] and refined by full-matrix least squares procedures [42], using $\omega(|F_o^2| - |F_o^2|)^2$ as the refined function. After isotropic refinement for all non-hydrogen atoms, DIFABS [43] was applied for the absorbtion correction. In the final cycles of refinement, all the non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic temperature parameters. Hydrogen atoms were found from Fourier difference syntheses and were refined isotropically. The largest peak in the final difference Fourier map had an electron density of 0.402 e Å⁻³, and the lowest hole was of -0.299 e Å⁻³. The location and magnitude of the residual electron density was of no chemical significance.

Acknowledgements

The Russian Foundation for Fundamental Investigations and ISF are acknowledged for financial support of this work. We thank the Royal Society for an award to L.G.K. We thank D. Yu. Naumov for his help with operating the SMART diffractometer.

References

- [1] (a) D.G. Dick, D.W. Stephan, Can. J. Chem. 69 (1991) 1146; (b) D.G. Dick, D.W. Stephan, Organometallic 10 (1991) 2811; (c) J.W. Ho, R.J. Drake, D.W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 3792; (c) Z. Hou, D.W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 10088; (c) J.W. Ho, D.W. Stephan, Organometallic 11 (1992) 1014; (f) J.W. Ho, D.W. Stephan, Organometallic 10 (1991) 3001; (j) J.W. Ho, Z. Hou, R.J. Drake, D.W. Stephan, Organometallic 11 (1992) 1014; (h) Z. Hou, T.L. Breen, D.W. Stephan, Organometallic 12 (1993) 1358; (i) M.C. Fermin, J.W. Ho, D.W. Stephan, Organometallic 14 (1995) 34247; (k) Z. Hou, T.L. Breen, A. Ozarowski, D.W. Stephan, Inorg. Chem. 14 (1995) 3125; (i) J.W. Ho, R. Rousseau, D.W. Stephan, Organometallic 14 (1995) 4030.
- [2] (a) R.T. Baker, J.F. Whitney, S.S. Wreford, Organometallic 2 (1983) 1049; (b) R.T. Baker, P.J. Krusic, T.H. Tulip, J.C. Calabrese, S.S. Wreford, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105 (1983) 6763; (c) R.T. Baker, J.C. Calabrese, R.L. Harlow, I.D. Williams, Organometailic 12 (1993) 830.
- [3] (a) E. Hey, S.G. Bott, J.L. Atwood, Chem. Ber. (1988) 121, 561; (b) E. Hey, J. Org:nomet. Che.n. 378 (1989) 375; (c) E. Hey, M.F. Lappert, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, Polyhedron 7 (1988) 2083; (a) E. Hey, M.F. Lappert, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1991) 939; (g) E. Hey, S.G. Bott, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, J.C. Hey-J. Makins, M.F. Lappert, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, J.C. Hey, S.G. Bott, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, J.C. Hey-S. Makins, M.F. Lappert, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, J.C. Hey-S. Makins, M.F. Lappert, J.L. Atwood, S.G. Bott, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1991) 939; (g) E. Hey, S.G. Bott, J.L. Atwood, Chem. Ber. 121 (1988) 561; (h) E. Hey, Z. Naturforsch, 43B (1988) 1271; (i) K. Fromm, G. Baum, E. Hey-Hawkins, J. Organomet. Chem. 462 (1993) 203; (i) S. Kurtz, E. Hey-Hawkins, J. Organomet. Chem. 479 (1994) 125; (m) E. Hey-Hawkins, S. Kurtz, J. Sieger, G. Baum, J. Organomet. Chem. 468 (1995) 229; (n) E. Hey- Hawkins, S. Kurtz, G. Baum, Z. Naturforsch, 50B (1995) 239; (o) E. Hey-Hawkins, F. Linderberg, Z. Naturforsch, 48B (1993) 951; (p) E. Hey, M.F. Lappert, J. Atwood, S.C. Bott, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1987) 572.
- [4] (a) J. Ellerman, P. Poersch, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 6 (1967) 335; (b) K. Issleib, H. Hackert, Z. Naturforsch. 21B (1966) 519; (c) S.R. Wade, M.G.H. Wallbridge, G.R. Witley, J. Chem. Soc., Daiton Trans. (1983) 2555; (d) L. Weber, G. Meine, R. Boese, N. Augart, Organometallics 6 (1987) 2484; (e) J.-C. Leblanc, C. Moise, J. Organomet, I. Chem. 364 (1989) C3; (f) R. Pagne, J. Hachgene, G. Fritz, D. Fenske, Z. Naturforsch. 41B (1986) 1533; (g) M.Y. Chang, S. Grenbartuta, F.V. Bolhuis, Organometallics 7 (1988) 1864; (h) B.L. Benac, R.A. Jones, Polyhedron 8 (1989) 177; (i) D.M. Roddick, B.D. Santarsiero, J.E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107 (1985) 4670; (j) G.A. Vaughan, G.L. Hillhouse, A.L. Rheingold, Organometallics 8 (1989) 1760; (k) S. Nielsen-Marsh, R.J. Growte, P.G. Edwards, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1922) 699.
- [5] (a) Yu.A. Ol'dekop, V.Z. Knizhnikov, Zh. Obshch. Chem. 51 (1981) 723; (b) S. Rigni, J.-C. Leblanc, C. Moise, B. Nuber, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1995) 45.
- [6] (a) G. Bonnet, O. Lavastre, J.-C. Leblanc, C. Moise, New, J. Chem. 12 (1988) 551; (b) G. Bonnet, M.M. Kubicki, C. Moise, R. Lazzaroni, P. Salvador, G. Vitulli, Organometallics 11 (1992) 964; (c) M.M. Kubicki, R. Kergoat, M. Cariou, J.E. Guerchais, P. L'Heridon, J. Organomet. Chem. 322 (1987) 357; (d) C. Barre, M.M. Kubicki, J.-C. Leblanc, C. Moise, Inorg Chem. 29 (1990) 5244.
- [7] (a) G.I. Nikonov, D.A. Lemenovskii, J. Lorberth, Organometallics 13 (1994) 3127; (b) G.I. Nikonov, L.G. Kuzmina, P. Mountford, D.A. Lemenovskii, Organometallics 14 (1995) 3588.
- [8] (a) G.I. Nikonov, L.G. Kuzmina, D.A. Lemenovskii, J. Organomet. Chem. 496 (1995) 187: (b) for the application of the insertion method for the synthesis of the phosphido metalloligands for early-late heterobimetallies see: G. Bonnet, O. Lavastre, G. Boni, J.C. Leblanc, C. Moise, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. 2, 319 (1994) 1293.
- [9] G.I. Nikonov, K. Harms, J. Lorberth, D.A. Lemenovskii, Inorg. Chem. 34 (1995) 2461.
- [10] G.I. Nikonov, D.A. Lemenovskii, J. Lorberth, Polyhedron 15 (1996) 1565.
- [11] E. Gross, K. Jorg, K. Fiederling, A. Gottlein, W. Malisch, R. Boese, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 23 (1984) 738.
- [12] Throughout this paper the index n (n = 1, 2, etc.) refers to all complexes of one structural type, while the indexes a, b, c, etc. denote identity of the substituent R at phosphorus.
- [13] D.A. Lemenovskii, I.F. Urazowskii, I.E. Nifant'ev, E.G. Perevalova, J. Organomet. Chem. 292 (1985) 217.
- [14] G.I. Nikonov, A.V. Churakov, manuscript in preparation.
- [15] The basic properties of the group 5 metallocenes hydrides are well-known. For example: F.N. Tebbe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96 (1973) 5412.
- [16] During our extensive work in the chemistry of Cp₂NbH₃ we noticed that sometimes an intense blue colour forms during the presuration of this compound. Usually this colour appears after a short contact with air. Recrystallization from ether allows perification to form white Cp₂NbH₃ with the yields about 50-60% instead of usual 80%.

- [17] (a) J.A. Labinger, in: J. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone, E.F. Abel (Eds.), Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, vol. 3, Pergamon Press, Oxf.;d, 1982; (b) S. Chalett, M.M. Kubicki, J.-C. Leblanc, C. Motse, B. Nuber, J. Organomet, Chem. 483 (1994) 47.
- [18] J.W. Lauher, R. Hoffmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98 (1976) 1729.
- [19] B.M. Foxman, 7.3 McNeese, S.S. Wreford, Inorg. Chem. 17 (1978) 2311.
- [20] H.A. Bent, Chem. Rev. 6! (1961) 275.
- [21] (a) D.G. Gilheany, Chem. Rev. 94 (1994) 1339; (b) A.E. Reed, P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 1434.
- [22] Dr. M. Livantsov brought our attention to the fact that the phosphoran 8 can be formed by disproportionation of the intermediate phosphite HPO₂C₆H₄ that must be an intermediate product of the reaction 5.
- [23] E. Lindner, M. Stängle, W. Hiller, R. Fawzi, Chem. Ber. 121 (1988) 1421.
- [24] D.A. Lemenovskii, I.E. Nifant'ev, E.G. Perevalova, T.V. Timofeeva, Yu.L. Slovohotov, Yu.T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem. 342 (1986) 31.
- [25] F.N. Tebbe, G.W. Parshall, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93 (1971) 3793.
- [26] (a) R. Serrano, P. Royo, J. Organomet. Chem. 247 (1983) 33; (b) L. Acedo, A. Otero, P. Royo, J. Organomet, Chem, 258 (1983) 181; (c) F.A. Urbanos, M. Mena, P. Royo, A. Antinolo, J. Organomet, Chem. 276 (1984) 185; (d) D.R. Tueting, M.M. Olmstead, N.E. Schore, Organometallis 11 (1992) 2235.
- [27] (a) C.R. Lucas, Inorg. Synth. 16 (1976) 111; (b) C.R. Lucas, M.L.H. Green, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. (1972) 2005.
- [28] D.A. Lemenovskii, G.I. Nikonov, G.P. Brusova, L.G. Kuzmina, E. Stankovie, M. Putala, J. Organomet. Chem. 496 (1995) 227.
- [29] R. Hoffmann, G.D. Zeiss, G.W. VanDine, J. Ani. Chem. Soc. 90 (1968) 1485.
- [30] R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 21 (1982) 711.
- [31] (a) A.H. Cowley, R.A. Kemp, Chem. Rev. 85 (1985) 367; (b) M.G. Thomas, C.W. Schultz, R.W. Parry, Inorg. Chem. 16 (1977) 994; (c) L.D. Nitchins, E. Duesler, N.R.T. Paine, Organometallics 1 (1982) 1254.
- [32] O.M. Nefedov, M.N. Monakov, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 5 (1966) 1021.
- [33] D.J. Smith, in: D.H.R. Barton, W.D. Ollis (Eds.), Comprehensive Organic Chemistry, vol. 2, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979, pp. 1178-1184.
- [34] J. Emsley, D. Hall, The Chemistry of Phosphorus, Harper and Row, London, 1976.
- [35] (a) N.V. Lukashev, P.E. Zhichkin, M.A. Kazankova, I.P. Beletskaya, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 1331; (b) N.V. Lukashev, A.A. Fil'chikov, M.A. Kazankova, I.P. Beletskaya, Heteroatom Chem, 4 (1993) 403; (c) G.Y. Mikhailov, I.V. Efimova, I.G. Trostyanskaya, M.A. Kazankova, Zh. Obsh. Chem. 50 (1980) 1888 (Russ.); (d) N.V. Lukashev, O.I. Artyushin, E.I. Lazkho, V.A. Tafeluko, M.A. Kazankova, I.F. Lutsenko, Zh. Obsh. Chem. 58 (1988) 1888 (Russ.); (e) G.Y. Mikhailov, I.G. Trostyanskaya, M.A. Kazankova, I.F. Lutsenko, Zh. Obsh. Chem. 54 (1984) 468 (Russ.); (g) LL. Rodionov, Y.N. Luzikov, M.A. Kazankova, I.F. Lutsenko, Zh. Obsh. Chem. 54 (1984) 468 (Russ.); (g) LL. Rodionov, Y.N. Luzikov, M.A. Kazankova, I.F. Lutsenko, Zh. Obsh. Chem. 54 (1984) 466 (Russ.); (h) I.F. Lutsenko, M.A. Kazankova, I.F. Lutsenko, Zh. Obsh. Chem. 53 (1983) 236 (Russ.); (j) LL. Rodionov, O.I. Artyushin, M.A. Kazankova, I.F. Lutsenko, Zh. Obsh. Chem. 53 (1983) 702 (Russ.); (k) E.V. Luzikova, M.A. Kazankova, I.F. Lutsenko, Zh. Obsh. Chem. 52 (1982) 1431 (Russ.);
- [36] M.-D. Su, Inorg. Chem. 34 (1995) 3829.
- [37] T.A. Albright, J.K. Burdett, M.-H. Whangbo, Orbital Interactions in Chemistry, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [38] M. Cattani-Lorente, M. Geofroy, S.P. Mishra, J. Weber, G. Bernardelli, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 7148.
- [39] In the case of R = OEt the corresponding phosphine HP(OEt)₂ was not observed apparently due to its facile decomposition to intractable products: I.F. Lutsenko, M.V. Proskurnina, A.A. Borisenko, Doclady Acad. Scien. USSR 193 (1970) 828 (Russ.).
- [40] Unfortunately, we failed to find data about the bond angles in chlorophosphites to test this hypothesis.
- [41] G. M Sheldrick, SHELXS-86. Program for Crystal Structure Determination, Universität Göttingen, 1986.
- [42] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-93, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, Universität Göttingen, 1993.
- [43] N.D. Walker, Stuart, Acta Crystallogr. A 39 (1983) 158.